Cationic Distribution and Oxidation Mechanism of Trivalent Manganese Ions in Submicrometer Mn_xCoFe_{2-x}O₄ Spinel Ferrites

M. Laarj and S. Kacim

De´partement de Chimie, Faculte´ des Sciences Semlalia, B.P. S15, Marrakech, Morocco

and

B. Gillot

Laboratoire de Recherche sur la réactivité des Solides, URA 23 Faculté des Sciences Mirandes, B.P. 138, 21004 Dijon Cedex, France

Received January 3, 1996; in revised form March 18, 1996; accepted May 14, 1996

oxalic precursors. Because of their small crystallite size (about defect spinel ferrites $(8, 9)$. However, beyond 450°C, Mn⁴⁺ 50 nm), these ferrite particles can be oxidized below 400°C ions are not stable and are re 50 nm), these ferrite particles can be oxidized below 400°C ions are not stable and are reduced to Mn³⁺ ions (8, 10).
giving cubic deficient spinels having both Mn³⁺ and Mn⁴⁺ ions In the same manner, manganese ions netics study of the oxidation of Mn³⁺ ions shows that oxidation In this paper, we report investigations on the series **proceeds by cation diffusion through a topotactic reaction with** $Mn_xCoFe_{2-x}O₄$. The substitution of iron by manganese in **a constant chemical diffusion coefficient for** $x < 0.60$ and vari-
able above $x = 0.80$ and an activation energy close to 115 concentration leading to the compounds Mn. CoFe_{2-x}O₄

Recently, the thermal behavior of submicrometer tion state. $Mn_xCo_yFe_{3-x-y}O_4$ ($0 \le x < 1$, $0 \le y < 1$) and Mo_xCo_y $Fe_{3-x-y}O_4$ ($0 \le x \le 0.63$, $0 \le y \le 0.90$) ferrites with spinel 2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS structure during their oxidation in cation deficient spinels has been studied (1–3). The originality of these com-
Ferrite particles with spherical shapes were prepounds, in comparison with other defect ferrites, is that pared via decomposition of mixed oxalate precursors ions. These vacancies enhance or hinder, depending on the at around 300° C in a mixture of nitrogen, hydrogen, and cooling down rate, the movement of cobalt ions between steam in proportions $(80:8:12)$. The reduction temperaequivalent cations sites and largely determine the original ture was slightly modified according to the manganese conmagnetic and electrical properties (4–7). of CoO extra phase, revealed for the "too reduced" sam-

meter manganese ferrites with or without cobalt, Fe^{2+} , **Submicrometer** $\text{Mn}_x \text{CoFe}_{2-x} \text{O}_4$ **(** $0 \le x < 1$ **) spinel particles** Mn^{2+} **, and** Mn^{3+} **ions can be oxidized between 200 and** with a spherical shape were prepared at low temperature from 450° C into Fe³⁺, Mn³⁺, and Mn⁴⁺ ions, respectively, giving oxalic precursors. Because of their small crystallite size (about defect spinel ferrites

able above $x = 0.80$ and an activation energy close to 115 concentration leading to the compounds $Mn_xCoFe_{2-x}O_4$
kJ mol⁻¹ but, however, depending on manganese substitution $(0 \le x \le 1)$ for which, in contrast to the c **kJ** mol⁻¹ but, however, depending on manganese substitution $(0 \le x \le 1)$ for which, in contrast to the case of pure content. \circ 1996 Academic Press, Inc. submicrometer $Mn_xFe_{3-x}O_4$ ($0 \le x \le 1$) ferrites, there was no other oxidation partly superimposed on the oxidation of Mn^{3+} ions. It is then possible to study the oxidation **1. INTRODUCTION** kinetics of Mn^{3+} ions with maintenance of the spinel structure when all other cations maintain their initial oxida-

the vacancies result not only from the oxidation of iron ions $C_{01/3}Mn_{x/3}Fe_{(2-x)/3}C_2O_4$, $2H_2O$ ($0 \le x < 1$) in air flow up but also from the oxidation of manganese or molybdenum to 650°C. After this treatment the powders were reduced properties of these non-stoichiometric spinels, such as their tent in order to reach the stoichiometry without formation In contrast to the case of CoFe_2O_4 , where Co^{2+} and Fe³⁺ ples. The phase formation was checked by X-ray diffraction ions have great stability toward oxidation, for submicro- using $CuKa$ radiation. The composition of the samples

Sample code	Cobalt mass%)	Manganese (mass%)	$Mn_rCoFe_{2-r}O_4$	\boldsymbol{X}	Lattice parameter (a) in nm	Crystallite size in nm
M ₀	25.10	Ω	CoFe ₂ O ₄	0	0.8398	49
M ₇	25.33	6.67	$CoMn_{0.26}Fe_{1.74}O_4$	0.26	0.8410	50
M ₉	25.64	8.92	$Co0.99Mn0.36Fe1.65O4$	0.36	0.8412	47
M ₁₂	26	11.59	$Co1.03Mn0.48Fe1.49O4$	0.48	0.8419	50
M15	25.33	15.30	$Co0.98Mn0.64Fe1.38O4$	0.64	0.8424	51
M ₂₁	24.86	21.30	$Co1.02Mn0.90Fe1.08O4$	0.90	0.8433	52

TABLE 1 Cobalt and Manganese Content Data, Composition *x***, Lattice Parameter (***a***), and Crystallite Size of the Spinels Investigated**

(Table 1) were analyzed by atomic absorption spectroscopy bution and their valencies were not deeply modified by after dissolving the ferrite powders in a concentrated HCl manganese substitution. solution. The average particle size of these loose powders It is seen from Fig. 2 that besides the main high-frewas measured from enlarged scanning microscopy (SEM)

8 microbalance with 20 mg powder using a heating rate of leading to the splitting of the v_1 band which is known to $2^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$ or under isothermal conditions. The oxidation be connected to threefold degenerate intr degree of the samples at various levels of reaction was of tetrahedral complexes (17). calculated from the gravimetric data. Fourier transform This leads to the conclusion that the cation distribution infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded with a Perkin– for $Mn_nCOFe_{2-x}O_4$ to a first approximation can be formu-
Elmer 1725X spectrometer and the Csl disk technique. lated as Elmer $1725X$ spectrometer and the Csl disk technique. The dc conductivity measurements were carried out under vacuum or O_2 atmosphere on compressed disks using a two-probe technique described elsewhere (13).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Cationic Distribution

Samples with cubic spinel structure were obtained in the compositional range $0 < x < 1$. The lattice parameter (a) values are summarized in Table 1 and its dependence as a function of the manganese content *x* is depicted in Fig. 1 (curve a). Vegard's law is approximately obeyed. Figure 1 (curve b) shows also the experimental $a = f(x)$ curves for submicrometer $Mn_xCoFe_{2-x}O_4$ spinel particles with an acicular shape (2).

Infrared studies indicate the presence of two pronounced absorption bands v_1 and v_2 in the range 700–300 cm⁻¹ which are found in the expected range as shown in Fig. 2 for a spinel-type ternary oxide with space group $Fd3m-O_h⁷$ (14). It has been reported previously that for inverse II–III spinels such as CoFe_2O_4 the high-frequency band v_1 belongs to the tetrahedral sites and the low-frequency band v_2 to the octahedral complexes (15, 16). It appears that the
introduction of manganese into the cobalt ferrite lattice in
ganese content. Experimental points (\bullet), spherical particles (this work); place of iron has only a small effect on the position of \Box acicular particles, Ref. (2) and calculated curves assuming the followthese bands v_1 and v_2 . This indicates that the cation distri- ing structural formulas [1] with $y = 0.3$ and [2]–[7].

 y'_1 and v'_2 . The images as 50 nm (Table 1). existence of the sidebands can be considered as evidence The oxidations were performed in a Setaram MTB 10- of $Co²⁺$ ions being present in tetrahedral sites as well, be connected to threefold degenerate intrinsic vibrations.

$$
(\mathrm{Co}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{2+} \mathrm{Fe}_{1-y}^{3+})_{A} \, (\mathrm{Fe}_{1-x+y}^{3+} \mathrm{Mn}_{1-y}^{3+} \mathrm{Co}_{2-y}^{3+})_{B} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}.
$$
 [1]

To prove more strictly the assumption of $Co²⁺$ ions in

A sites, the theoretical lattice parameters (a) as function of manganese content are calculated for different structural formulas. After Kulkarni (18), the manganese and cobalt
ions in CoMnFeO₄ ($x = 1$) have the III and II valences,
respectively. However, the substitution of iron by manga-
nese in CoFe₂O₄ can be done, *a priori*, acco ferrites (8, 9). Only octahedral Co^{3+} ions were taken into
account, because of their *B*-site preference (19, 20). On retical curves. Therefore, for these spinels, the Co^{2+} ions
the other hand, the fourfold and sixf ions were considered. Consequently, considering the cation locations in both sublattices, the six structural formulas.

$$
(\text{Mn}_x^{2+} \text{Fe}_{1-x}^{3+})_A \, (\text{Fe}^{3+} \text{Co}_{1-x}^{2+} \text{Co}_x^{3+})_B \, \text{O}_4^{2-} \tag{2}
$$

$$
(\text{Mn}_x^{2+}\text{Co}_{1-x}^{2+})_A \text{ (Fe}_{2-x}^{3+}\text{Co}_x^{3+})_B \text{ O}_4^{2-} \tag{3}
$$

$$
(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+})_A \, (\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}_{1-x} \, \mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}_x^{2+} \, \mathrm{Co}^{2+}_{1-x} \, \mathrm{Co}^{3+}_x) \, B \, \mathrm{O}^{2-}_4 \tag{4}
$$

$$
(\mathrm{Fe}_{x}^{3+}\mathrm{Co}_{1-x}^{2+})_{A} (\mathrm{Fe}_{2-2x}^{3+}\mathrm{Mn}_{x}^{2+}\mathrm{Co}_{x}^{3+})_{B}\mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-} \qquad \qquad [5]
$$

$$
(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+})_A \, (\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}_{1-x} \, \mathrm{Mn_x^{3+}} \, \mathrm{Co}^{2+}_x)_B \, \mathrm{O}^{2-}_4 \tag{6}
$$
\n
$$
\mathrm{Mn^{4+}-O} \qquad \mathrm{Mn^{4+}-O} \qquad \mathrm{0.1843}
$$

$$
(\text{Co}^{2+})_A (\text{Fe}^{3+}_{2-x} \text{ Mn}^{3+}_{x})_B \text{O}^{2-}_{4}
$$
 [7] $\text{Co}^{3+}-\text{O}$ 0.1892
 Co^{3+} 0.1892

Lattice parameters were calculated by using the invariant character of the ''cation–anion'' distances established by Poix (21, 22) from a systematic study of spinel structures (Table 2). Two parameters are thus defined giving the average value of the cation–oxygen distances for *A* and *B* sites

$$
d_A = a\sqrt{3}\left(\frac{1}{8} + \delta\right) \tag{8}
$$

$$
d_B = a \left(\frac{1}{16} - \delta/2 + 3\delta^2\right)^{1/2},
$$
 [9]

where *a* is the lattice parameter and $\delta = u - 3/8$ with *u* the oxygen position. d_A and d_B can be also expressed explicitly as a function of the cation distributions and the cation– anion distance

$$
d_A = \sum C_i^A (Me_i - O)_4
$$
 for tetrahedral sites [10]

$$
2d_B = \sum C_i^B (Me_i - O)_6
$$
 for octahedral sites, [11]

 C_i^A and C_i^B being the concentrations of the metallic cations *Me*, respectively, at *A* and *B* sites ($\sum C_i^A = 1$, $\sum C_i^B$ **FIG. 2.** FT-IR spectra of the Mn_xCoFe_{2-x}O₄ system. By solving the system of Eqs. [10] and [11], Poix has established the following equation:

$$
a = 2.0995 dA + (5.8182 dB2 - 1.4107 dA2)1/2. [12]
$$

TABLE 2 Cation–Oxygen Distances Used to Calculate the Theoretical Value of the Lattice Parameter

$\lambda = 1 \lambda 11 \lambda 11$				
$(Fe^{3+})_A (Fe^{3+}_{1-x} Mn_x^{2+} Co^{2+}_{1-x} Co^{3+}_x)_B O_4^{2-}$	[4]	Anion–cation bonds	B site (nm)	A site (nm)
$(\text{Fe}_{x}^{3+}\text{Co}_{1-x}^{2+})_{A}$ $(\text{Fe}_{2-2x}^{3+}\text{Mn}_{x}^{2+}\text{Co}_{x}^{3+})_{B}\text{O}_{4}^{2-}$	[5]	Mn^{2+} –O	0.2220	0.2041
		Mn^{3+} –O	0.2045	
$(Fe^{3+})_A (Fe^{3+}_{1-x} Mn_x^{3+} Co_x^{2+})_B O_4^{2-}$	[6]	Mn^{4+} –O	0.1843	
		$Co^{2+}-O$	0.2123	0.1974
$(Co^{2+})_A$ $(Fe^{3+}_{2-x}$ $Mn_x^{3+})_B$ O_4^{2-}	[7]	Co^{3+} -O	0.1892	
		$Fe3+-O$	0.2020	0.1858
herdered		\square -O	0.2240	

FIG. 3. Dependence of the mass gain on temperature for $Mn_xCoFe_{2-x}O₄$ spinels.

IR spectroscopy. A recent study by neutron diffraction has confirmed for the composition $x = 0.36$ (24), the presence of a not negligible (0.30) fraction *y* of Co^{2+} ions located at *A* sites. If we assume an identical fraction for all *x* values, we can calculated from Poix's method the theoretical lat-
tice parameter (Fig. 1, curve 1). The better agreement the divalent Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} ions, solely the Mn^{3+} ions tice parameter (Fig. 1, curve 1). The better agreement the divalent Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} ions, solely the Mn^{3+} ions found between curve 1 and curve a outlines the reliability oxidation neak was detected in the DTG cur found between curve 1 and curve a outlines the reliability oxidation peak was detected in the DTG curves. Moreover, of the cation distribution given in formula [1].

analysis in nonisothermal oxidation conditions performed curve b), the second step in a static atmosphere of pure O_2 shows a mass gain from a phase transformation. in a static atmosphere of pure O_2 shows a mass gain from α phase transformation.
200 to 400°C increasing with the manganese content (Fig. The Mn³⁺ ion oxidation is only partial as shown in Fig. 3) and the oxygen pressure (Fig. 4). Because no oxidation

 $Mn_{0.9}COFe_{1.1}O_4$ spinel as function of oxygen pressure. Mn_{0.9}CoFe_{1.1}O₄ spinel.

was observed for pure CoFe_2O_4 , this mass gain is ascribed to the oxidation of Mn^{3+} ions into Mn^{4+} ions. A study by differential thermogravimetry (DTG) shows that this reaction (Fig. 5, curve a) occurs in the same range of temperature as for the oxidation of octahedral Mn^{3+} ions in Mn ferrites (Fig. 5, curve b) having the same particle shapes and crystallite sizes. Indeed, a close examination of the influence of cobalt substitution reveals that not only the top of the Mn^{3+} ions oxidation peak is located at an identical temperature (340° C) but also that the substitution removed the oxidation peaks of Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} ions. In this study the two considered cation distributions can be formulated as (8)

$$
(\text{Co}^{3+}_{0.3}\text{Fe}^{3+}_{0.7})_A\,(\text{Mn}_{0.9}^{3+}\text{Co}^{2+}_{0.7}\text{Fe}^{3+}_{0.4})_B\,\text{O}^{2-}_4\qquad [13]
$$

and

$$
(\text{Mn}_{0.8}^{2+} \text{Fe}_{0.2}^{3+})_A \, (\text{Mn}_{0.2}^{3+} \text{Fe}_{0.2}^{2+} \text{Fe}_{1.6}^{3+})_B \text{O}_4^{2-}.\tag{14}
$$

the presence of Mn^{4+} ions is confirmed by the reduction peak (Fig. 5, curve a) and the mass loss (Figs. 3 and 4)
3.2. *Oxidative Nonstoichiometry of Mn*³⁺ *Ions* observed beyond 400°C. For Mn ferrites it has been estab-3.2.1. *Thermogravimetric study*. Thermogravimetric lished that Mn^{2+} ions oxidized in two steps (8) (Fig. 5, *3.3.1.* Thermogravimetric study. Thermogravimetric study. The second step taking place at about 650°C with

FIG. 5. DTG curves of samples heated in pure $O_2(PO_2 = 2 \times$ FIG. 4. Dependence of the mass gain on temperature for 10^4 Pa) at a linear rate of 2° C min⁻¹. (----) MnFe₂O₄ spinel, (------)

FIG. 6. Comparison of measured under (a) nonisothermal and (b) isothermal conditions with calculated (c) mass gain, assuming total oxidation of Mn^{3+} ions into Mn^{4+} ions.

6 (curve a). Consequently the ferrites oxidized around 350 \degree C have both Mn³⁺ and Mn⁴⁺ ions in their lattice. The resulting formula deduced from Eq. [1] with $y = 0.3$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{array}{l}\n\left(\text{Co}_{8y/D}^{2+} \text{Fe}_{(8(1-y)+\delta x)/D}^{3+}\right) A \\
\text{(Fe}_{(8(1-x+y)-\delta x)/D}^{3+} \text{Mn}_{(8x(1-\delta))/D}^{3+} \text{Mn}_{8\delta x/D}^{4+} \text{Co}_{8(1-y)/D}^{2+} \Box_{3\delta x/D} \text{O}_{4}^{2-} \\
\text{[15]} \n\end{array}
$$

 δ is the fraction of oxidized manganese ions, (δ = number ity due to a diminishing of Mn³⁺–Mn⁴⁺ pairs resulting from of Mn⁴⁺ ions/total number of Mn³⁺ and Mn⁴⁺ ions), $D =$ the reduction process. $8 + \delta x$, and \Box is the cationic vacancy. *3.2.3. Infrared investigation.* Figure 8 depicts the profile

tion with temperature of the electrical conductivity (σ) under vacuum and under oxygen (curves a). Under vacuum, the logarithm of the electrical conductivity shows a linear relationship with T^{-1} (curve 1a) between 200 and 500°C, according to the semiconductor behavior $\sigma = \sigma_0$ $\exp(-E_a/kT)$ in which E_a is the activation energy of conduction. In contrast, in the presence of oxygen, starting with unoxidized spinel at low temperature, this relation was not obeyed beyond 290°C (curve 2a) consequent to the oxidation of Mn^{3+} ions. Above this temperature, Mn^{4+} ions are also present that induce an increase in the number of $Mn^{3+}-Mn^{4+}$ pairs and the conductivity, which proceeds via hopping of charge carriers, increases more rapidly. At about 380° C the conductivity is maximum. Then at higher temperature, the number of $Mn^{3+}-Mn^{4+}$ pairs decreases, leading to a correlative decrease in the conductivity. This particular evolution where the conductivity will reach a maximum when the number of Mn^{3+} ions is equal to the number of Mn^{4+} ions (25) is confirmed in isothermal oxidation at 350° C (Fig. 7, curve b). In this case a rapid increase of the conductivity is also noted which can be correlated **FIG. 8.** FT-IR spectra of oxidized $Mn_{0.9}$ CoFe_{1.1}O₄ spinel in the 800– with the oxidation of Mn^{3+} ions at *B* sites. After partial 400 cm^{-1} region (a) at 300°C for 4 h, (b) at 300°C for 24 h, (c) at 300°C oxidation of $Mn³⁺$ ions, we observe a decrease of conductiv- for 48 h, and (d) at 780°C for 8 h.

FIG. 7. (a) Temperature dependence of the dc electrical conductivity for a $Mn_{0.9}CoFe_{1.1}O_4$ spinel, (1) under vacuum and (2) under O_2 atmosphere; (b) Kinetics curve $\sigma = f(t)$ for oxidation of octahedral Mn³⁺ ions.

3.2.2. Electrical behavior. Figure 7 compares the evolu- of the IR spectra of samples with $x = 0.90$ oxidized at

FIG. 9. Kinetics curves $\alpha_{\text{Mn}} = f(t)$ for octahedral Mn³⁺ ion oxidation for spinel Mn_{0.48}CoFe_{1.52}O₄.

lower intensity at 501 cm⁻¹. This behavior is similar to the degree of oxidation (but not exceeding 50%), two absorp-

 330° C (curves a, b, c) for various heating times. For a results from the presence of Mn⁴⁺ ions. High-frequency reaction time of 4 h (curve a) leading to a degree of oxida- bands associated with the presence of tetravalent cations tion at about 20% (Mn^{4+}/Mn^{3+} ratio), the spectrum exhibits on octahedral sites also are observed for synthetic γ -MnO₂ two intense bands at 587 and 435 cm⁻¹ and one band of (26) and molybdenum substituted magne (26) and molybdenum substituted magnetites (27) . However, for reaction times beyond 24 h, there is evidence that spectrum of unoxidized sample (Fig. 2). With increasing the intensity of these two bands decrease (curve c) whereas degree of oxidation (but not exceeding 50%), two absorp-
the intensity of the band at 593 cm⁻¹ attribut tion bands at 650 and 703 $cm⁻¹$ are observed (curves b and unoxidized spinel increases. This behavior may be correc). The appearance of these additional bands apparently lated to a beginning of reduction of Mn^{4+} ions. With in-

FIG. 10. $\alpha_{\text{Mn}} = f(t)$ curves for spinel Mn_{0.48}CoFe_{1.52}O₄: pressure law.

 α

 0.9

 $X = 0.45$

creasing treatment temperature (curve d) a complete disappearance of the two high frequency bands occurs while the IR spectrum of the unoxidized sample again is well apparent. This indicates that after this stage, stoichiometric Mn–Co ferrite is obtained.

3.3. Isothermal Kinetics Analysis

Higher values of δ can be reached when isothermal oxidations are carried out around 350° C (Fig. 6, curve b). However it was not possible to oxidize the Mn^{3+} ions completely and the higher value of δ was 0.57 for $x = 0.90$ after an oxidation at 360° C for 40 h.

The oxidation kinetics curves $\alpha_{\text{Mn}} = f(t)$ determined as function of temperature and oxygen pressure have a roughly parabolic shape (Figs. 9 and 10). The conversion rate is defined as $\alpha_{\text{Mn}} = W_t/W_{\infty}$ where W_t is the amount of Mn^{3+} ions oxidized to Mn^{4+} ions in time *t*, and W_{∞} is the corresponding amount after 40 h of reaction. Such an oxidation can be analyzed as a vacancy diffusion-controlled process obeying the usual Fick's equations with a concentration given at the surface by thermodynamic conditions (7). It has been shown that when the chemical diffusion coefficient, \tilde{D} , is constant the kinetics curves for spherical particles can be described by the expression (28)

$$
\alpha_{\text{Mn}} = 1 - 6/\pi^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/n^2) \exp(-n^2 kt), \qquad (16)
$$

where $k = \pi^2 \tilde{D}/r^2$ and *r* is the mean grain radius. tal data for $Mn_xCoFe_{2-x}O_4$ spinels with (1): $x = 0.48$ and (2) $x = 0.90$.

The experimental curves can be directly compared to the theoretical curves $\alpha_{\text{Mn}} = f(t/t_{1/2})$, where $t_{1/2}$ is the half reaction time. Figure 11 shows for $x = 0.48$ (curves 1) the Eq. [15] (0.18 for $x = 0.90$ and 0.085 for $x = 0.48$), the comparison between the experimental curves and those lattice parameter more sharply decreases as observed exobtained by fitting. This figure reveals clearly that the two perimentally ($\Delta a = 0.034$ nm for $x = 0.90$ and 0.026 nm sets of curves are in reasonable agreement. However, for for $x = 0.48$ (2). $x = 0.90$, the two sets of curves agree less (curves 2). From the variation of ln \tilde{D} versus 1/*T* an activation Compared in the case of a constant chemical diffusion energy of 110 kJ mol⁻¹ was obtained for $x = 0.48$ and 122 coefficient, the diffusion is faster at the beginning of the kJ mol⁻¹ for $x = 0.90$. These values are slightly higher than reaction (curve 2a) before slowing down at the end (curve the activation energy of $Fe²⁺$ ions oxidation in magnetite 2b). For this composition, it is not possible to model the particles (31) having the same crystallite size as in the oxidations by considering a constant chemical diffusion $Mn_xCoFe_{2-x}O₄$ ferrites studied. coefficient. Such oxidation behavior, already observed for ferrites containing molybdenum or vanadium (29), has **4. CONCLUSION** been explained on the basis of very significant stresses generated in the particles by the chemical gradient induced The valuence states of the manganese and cobalt ions during the oxidation mechanism (30). These stresses are in submicrometer $Mn_xCoFe_{2-x}O₄$ spinel ferrites are +III due to the difference in the degree of oxidation between and $+II$, respectively. The Mn³⁺ ions are located in octahethe beginning and the end of the reaction (the surface dral sites while the Co^{2+} ions may be located in both octahebeing more oxidized than the bulk) leading to a diffusion dral and tetrahedral sites. These results are consistent with coefficient smaller in the bulk of the material than at the the interpretation given by Martens (32), for the magnetosurface. In this respect, we consider that, consequently to optical properties at 800 nm of $\text{CoMn}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ ferrites on the formation of a higher concentration in vacancies per one hand, and with the oxidation behavior of the studied molecule for the composition $x = 0.90$ as calculated from samples on the other hand. Indeed, the manganese ions

 335° C

 \blacksquare 315°C 289°C

 \circ $324^\circ C$ □ 310°C 280°C

FIG. 11. Theoretical curves $\alpha = f(t/t_{1/2})$ for the case of a threedimensional isotropic diffusion with \tilde{D} constant (Eq. [16]) and experimen-

as the Mn³⁺ ions in the Mn_xFe_{3-x}O₄ ferrites having the *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 17, 521 (1987).
same crystallite sizes. Moreover, beyond 400°C the re-
Soc. 60, 31 (1977). sulting defect ferrites are reduced, confirming the forma- 11. J. M. Jimenez Mateos, J. Morales, and J. L. Tirado, *J. Solid State* tion of unstable Mn^{4+} ions. However, in Co–Mn ferrites the Chem. **82**, 87 (1989). 12. B. Gillot, M. El Guendouzi, M. Kharroubi, P. Tailhades, R. Metz, spinel structure was maintained after complete reduction and A. Rousset, *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **24,** 199 (1989).

13. B. Gillot, *J. Phys. Chem. Phys.* **3**

The oxidation kinetics in isothermal conditions of Mn³⁺ ions has established that the kinetics is controlled by a (1967). diffusion process involving a composition gradient through 15. O. S. Josyulu and J. Sobhanadri, *Phys. Stat. Sol.* (*a*) **65,** 479 (1981). particles of a nonstoichiometric spinel with a diffusion coef- 16. P. Tarte, *Spectrochim. Acta* 19, 49 (1963).

figiont doponding on the yesengy content. The activation 17. V. A. Potakova, N. D. Zverey, and V. P. Romanov, ficient depending on the vacancy content. The activation (a) 12, 623 (1972).

energy of the Mn³⁺ ion oxidation was found to be higher (a) 12, 623 (1972).

18. D. K. Kulkarni, *Curr. Sci. Lett.* **43**, 374 (1974). than the activation energy of Fe^{2+} ion oxidation in $19.$ J. D. Dunitz and L. E. Orgel, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **3**, 318 (1972).
agreement with their stability toward oxidation (33). 20. A. C. C. Tseung and J. R. Golds

- 24. J. L. Baudour, Private communication.
1. P. Tailhades, P. Mollard, A. Rousset, and M. Gougeon, *I.E.E.E.* 25. B. Gillot, M. Kharroubi, R. Metz, and R. Legros, *Silicates Industriels.*
- 26. P. Petit, J. 1
3. E. Kester, B. Gillot, L. Bouet, P. Tailhades, and A. Rousset, *Ther-* 959 (1993).
-
- *Chem.* **103,** 16 (1993). 4. P. Tailhades, Ch. Sarda, P. Mollard, and A. Rousset, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **104–107,** 969 (1992).
28. B. Gillot, D. Delafosse, and P. Barret, *Mater. Res. Bull.* **8,** 143 (1973).
10. P. Tailhades J. Bouet B. Gillot, P. Mollard, and A. Rousset "Pro-29. M. Nohair, P. Perriat, B. Domenich
- 5. P. Tailhades, L. Bouet, B. Gillot, P. Mollard, and A. Rousset, "Pro- 29. M. Nohair, P. Perriat ceedings of the 6th International Conference on Ferrites Tokyo." Acta 244, 223 (1994). ceedings of the 6th International Conference on Ferrites, Tokyo,"
- 6. I. Chassaing, L. Presmanes, P. Tailhades, A. Rousset, and P. Mollard, *J. Phys. IV* **2** 127 (1992). 31. B. Gillot, A. Rousset, and G. Dupre, *J. Solid State Chem.* **25,** 263
- 7. B. Gillot and A. Rousset, *Heterogen. Chem. Rev.* **1,** 69 (1994). (1978).
- 8. B. Gillot, M. El Guendouzi, P. Tailhades, and A. Rousset, *React.* 32. J. W. D. Martens, *J. Appl. Phys.* **59,** 3820 (1986). *Solids* **1,** 139 (1986). 33. B. Gillot, *J. Solid State Chem.* **113,** 163 (1994).
- are oxidized in the spinel lattice, at the same temperature 9. P. Tailhades, R. Bendaoud, A. R. Fert, A. Rousset, and B. Gillot, as the Mn³⁺ ions in the Mn Fe Q. ferrites having the *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **17,** 521 (1987).
	-
	-
	-
	-
	- 14. W. B. White and B. A. DeAngelis, *Spectrochim. Acta, A* **23,** 985
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	- 20. A. C. C. Tseung and J. R. Goldstein, *J. Mater. Sci.* **7,** 1383 (1972).
	- 21. P. Poix, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **5,** 1085 (1965).
	- 22. P. Poix, *C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris* **268,** 1139 (1969). **REFERENCES**
		- 23. A. Navrostky and O. J. Kleppa, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **29,** 2701 (1967).
		-
- *Trans. Mag.* 26, 1822 (1990).
2. I. Chassaing, L. Presmanes, P. Tailhades, and A. Rousset, *Solid State*
2. I. Chassaing, L. Presmanes, P. Tailhades, and A. Rousset, *Solid State*
2. P. Petit, J. Dürr, M. Lenglet, and B.
	-
	- *mochim. Acta* 261, 209 (1995).
 27. B. Domenichini, B. Gillot, L. Bouet, and P. Tailhades, *J. Solid State* **26.**
 27. B. Domenichini, B. Gillot, L. Bouet, and P. Tailhades, *J. Solid State* **26.**
 27. B. Domenichin
		-
		-
	- p. 624. 1992. 30. P. Perriat, D. Domenichini, and B. Gillot, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids,* to
		-
		-
		-